

**Maryland Board of Pharmacy
Public Board Meeting
Minutes**

Date: August 15, 2012

Name	Title	Present	Absent	Present	Absent
Board Committee					
Bradley-Baker, L.	Commissioner/Treasurer	✓		1	1
Chason, D.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Finke, H.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Gavgani, M. Z.	Commissioner	✓		1	1
Hammonds, S.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Handelman, M.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Israbian-Jamgochian, L.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Matens, R.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Souranis, M.	Commissioner/President	✓		2	0
St. Cyr, II, Z. W.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Taylor, D.	Commissioner	✓		2	0
Taylor, R.	Commissioner/Secretary	✓		1	1
Board Counsel					
Bethman, L.	Board Counsel	✓		2	0
Felter, B.	Staff Attorney	✓		2	0
Board Staff					
Naesea, L.	Executive Director	✓		2	0
Wu, Y.	Compliance Manager	✓		1	1
Daniels, D	Licensing Manager		✓	1	1
Gaither, P.	Administration and Public Support Manager		✓	1	1
Jeffers, A.	Legislation/Regulations Manager	✓		2	0
Kolapalli, P	MIS Project Manager	✓		2	0

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
I. Executive Committee Report(s)	A. M. Souranis, Board President	<p><i>Members of the Board with a conflict of interest relating to any item on the agenda are advised to notify the Board at this time or when the issue is addressed in the agenda.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. M. Souranis, President, called the Public Meeting to order at 9:46 a.m. 2. M. Souranis requested all meeting attendees to introduce themselves, to remember to sign the guest log and to indicate whether they would like continuing education credits before they leave the meeting. 3. Members of the Board with any conflict of interests relating to any item on the agenda were advised to notify the Board. 4. M. Souranis reported that all handouts are to be returned by attendees when they leave the meeting. 5. Review and approval of July 18, 2012 public board meeting minutes. 	<p>Motion to accept minutes made by L. Israbian-Jamgochian. Motion was seconded by D. Taylor.</p>	<p>Motion was approved by the Board.</p>
II. Executive Director Report	A. L. Naesea	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Operations Update – L. Naesea reported that P. Gaither, Administration and Public Support Manager, is on vacation and D. Daniels, Licensing Manager, is on leave and neither attend this meeting. L. Naesea took responsibility for all three reports. 2. Meeting Updates – L. Naesea met with Lisa Ellis, Tom Jackson and other parties regarding moving the BOP office from the first floor to the fifth floor at 4201 Patterson Avenue. L. Naesea passed out copies of the 		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>specific terms of the negotiations between the BOP and the DHMH. DHMH will try to extend the move from January to minimally April, 2013. DHMH will begin immediately to work on the Automated Voice Recognition (AVR) telephone system.. The DHMH will not pay for the purchase of any new equipment but will pay for all renovations and moving costs, including giving A. Jeffers an office on the fifth floor. Part of the renovations will consist of configuring a second meeting room in addition to the smaller one that is currently there. L. Naesea met with systems automations regarding Phase II and noted that the Board has over 200 program funded hours left over from Phase I. L.Naesea discussed where and how to allocate these program hours, including ideas to interface with NABP to automatically download and upload information and to interface directly with the Comptrollers office to do similar tasks.</p>		
B. Administration and Public Support	L. Naesea, Executive Director	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Personnel Updates – The BOP is in the process of recruiting and hiring MIS manager. L. Naesea, P. Kolapalli, and A. Jeffers have completed the first round of interviews and have two possible candidates. The candidate who is ultimately selected may be able to start in September. 2. The BOP is in the process of renewing MIS Manager, Pawan Kolapalli’s contract for another six months to see to the completion of Phase II of the MIS project 		
C. MIS	P. Kolapalli, MIS Program Director	<p><u>Accomplishments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project is behind schedule because of issues in July but new project is projected to be implemented in 		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>September at the earliest. There is steady progression.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • User Acceptance Testing by 8/24 and SA contractor is also facilitating the training and facilitating issues. • Final Data conversion on 9/8 • “Go Live” date for automation project projected for mid-September implementation <p><u>Issues:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Several issues must be addressed by the SA contractor before project implementation. SA contractors have begun to perform tasks, frequently on-site, to resolve issues, complete configurations, and respond to user questions and provide staff training. 2. Overall, the project is on schedule and under budget working towards September implementation. <p>As a part of Continuous Process improvements,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The MIS Unit is in the process of compiling new requirements for automation upgrades as part of Phase II implementation, projected for completion in early spring 2013 2. MIS is working with the Comptrollers office and NABP in an effort to establish electronic data exchange. P. Kolapalli is in the process of establishing interface of emergency preparedness and NABP in Phase II. 3. In order to keep down issues, MIS has come up with a 		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>tool to effectively track issues to solve them in a timely manner.</p> <p>4. New equipment has been procured for BOP staff , including updated tablets for inspectors, in order to improve our program efficiencies in providing services to the public.</p>		
D. Licensing	L. Naesea, Executive Director	<p>Monthly Statistics for August,2012:</p> <p><u>Total Pharmacist Licensees:</u> 8,905, of this figure there were 97 new in-state and 67 new out of state pharmacist licenses issued;</p> <p><u>Total Pharmacy Technician Registrations:</u> 8,617. Of this figure there 134 new applications received this month with 34 still pending.</p>		
E. Compliance	<p>Y. Wu, Manager</p> <p>Gil Cohen, PEAC</p>	<p>1. Monthly Statistics - In the month of July there were 14 complaints received and 56 resolved complaints. The percentage action within goal was 12/55, discipline actions taken were 18, 0 reversals, 2 summary actions taken and the average days to complete 212 days. In July the inspectors completed 117 inspections of which 110 were annual, 6 were opening inspections and 1 relocating inspection. At this time information from DDC regarding closing inspections was not available.</p> <p>2. PEAC Update – Currently have 16 cases; New contact is now in place and going well. Introduction packets will be handed out in September.</p>		
F. Legislation & Regulations	A. Jeffers	<p><u>MEETINGS:</u></p> <p>1. MPJE State Review meeting is in Chicago on September 5th and 6th. Stephen Holmes and Harry Fink will be attending.</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>2. Biosimilar Meeting with Deron Johnson, lobbyist for Amgen. He presented the Board with a draft bill which was reviewed and discussed in the meeting. The Board is reserving its position until the Board sees the final bill, which will be presented this Legislative Session. One portion of the proposal is to make a separate section in the statute for the generic substitution of biosimilars.</p> <p><u>Email from Deron Johnson - Some Clarification Thoughts 080312</u></p> <p><u>LEGISLATION:</u></p> <p>1. A. Jeffers made a recommendation for the Board to ratify the Legislative Proposal for Healthcare Practitioners Dispensing Drugs at Facilities and Clinics that Specialize in Medical Cases Reimbursable by Worker's Compensation Insurance submitted last week. The Department favors the legislation and has not determined whether or not it will sponsor the legislation at this time.</p> <p><u>Draft Proposal Form A 2013 - Dispensing - Worker's Comp 081012</u></p> <p><u>FINAL Draft Bill - Bd of Pharm - Dispensing - Worker's Comp 081012</u></p> <p><u>Letter from IWIF to Bd 080410</u></p> <p><u>DLS Agency Explanation of Impact - Dispensing - Worker's Comp</u></p> <p>2. A. Jeffers made a recommendation for the Board to ratify the Legislative Proposal for Health Care Practitioners - Annual Inspections for Dispensing Prescribers.</p>	<p>Motion made by A. Jeffers for Board to approve ratification of Legislative Proposal for Healthcare Practitioners dispensing drugs at facilities and clinics that specialize in medical cases that's reimbursable by workers compensation insurance. Seconded by D. Taylor</p> <p>Motion made by A. Jeffers for Board to approve the ratification of Legislative Proposal for Healthcare Practitioners for annual inspections for Dispensing</p>	<p>Motion approved by the Board.</p> <p>Motion approved by the Board.</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>- L. Naesea discussed an Action Plan for issues and concerns regarding annual inspections. These concerns are related to patient safety and alternatives for DDC to conduct annual inspections. Some of the concerns involved physicians who are not following proper dispensing regulations and guidelines, There was also discussion of possible funding options for annual inspections including monies returned to the State above the Board's surplus. These funds could be used to provide funds to support additional inspectors at DDC so that annual inspections would be possible. There is belief that this is the best time to start looking into this as DDC begins inspection process July 2013. The existing legislation supports 2 inspections within a 5 yr period. DDC's main concern is that they don't have enough inspectors to fulfill this obligation. The Secretary and the Department feel that this legislation is not ripe since the 2012 legislation has not yet been implemented. The Board would like to present a different approach this year. The floor was opened to other discussions as to how to approach this situation. M. Souranis added that the Action Plan is to meet and discuss special provisions in the bill to show how funding can be made possible. Asked for approval for plan and other ideas from Board members. R. Matens discussed concerns of lack of sufficient inspections conducted by DDC, as their usual focus is on CDS and not the entire stock of drugs in establishments. L. Bethman confirmed that these inspections conducted by DDC would in fact include entire stock of drugs not just CDS. M. Souranis made a suggestion to compare our copy of inspection form and compare it to DDC's to ensure all required information is captured during these inspections. L. Naesea proposed possible two year grant to demonstrate that this funding is not intended to be continuous but would produce necessary results to show the need for annual inspections. M. Souranis informed the Board to please forward all other ideas, issues or</p>	<p>Prescribers. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p> <p>Motion made by S. Hammonds for Board to approve the advancing of the action plan to implement annual inspections. Seconded by H. Finke.</p>	<p>Motion approved by the Board.</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>concerns and recommended the approval of the Board to proceed with the action plan.</p> <p><u>Update on Proposed Legislation</u></p> <p><u>Draft Proposal Form A 2013 - Annual Inspections</u></p> <p><u>FINAL Draft Bill - Bd of Pharm - Annual Inspections 081012</u></p> <p><u>DLS Agency Explanation of Impact - Annual Inspections</u></p> <p>3) Expansion of Vaccination Meetings There was a meeting in Annapolis on July 26, 2012 regarding the Expansion of Pharmacist Administration of Vaccinations in MD. Chairman Hammen asked stakeholders to provide him with their thoughts on moving forward with this issue. A letter was provided to Board for approval that was finalized 08/14/2012 that set forth the Board's position on expansion of pharmacist's administration of vaccinations. A. Jeffers participated in a conference call on August 6, 2012 with Pharmacy stakeholders and was presented a draft bill of what should or should not be allowed. A. Jeffers, M. Souranis and Executive Committee decided to reserve opinion until all sides have been presented and did not submit a position or comments to the pharmacy stakeholders</p> <p><u>Agenda Meeting on Expanding the Authority for Pharmacists to Administer Vaccinations</u></p> <p><u>DRAFT Bd Response – Chairman Hammen – Immunizations</u></p> <p><u>August 6th, Stakeholder Vaccine Conference Call</u></p> <p>A. Jeffers and L. Israbian-Jamgochian participated in conference call about vaccines with the Department, Board of Nursing and Board of Physicians on August 14th. As revealed in this conference call, the Departments' standing on this issue is that they believe that an expansion without a prescription, but with a protocol for adults for all immunizations is appropriate. They are</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>in favor of teenagers, 12-17, with a prescription and there was no support for further vaccinations for children under age 12 except for 9 yr olds getting the influenza vaccinations. During this call, the Board's position could not be given without approval of that position at the August Board meeting.</p> <p>The letter to Chairman Hammen was presented and key points was highlighted including: there have not been any complaints concerning pharmacist administering vaccinations; the safety feature including required CPR certification and training in emergency situation The Board supports allowing pharmacists to administer vaccinations listed in the recommended CDC immunization schedule and recommended health information for international travel to adults without requiring a prescription; no prescriptions for herpes zoster or pneumococcal pneumonia; notification of adults' primary care physician; all healthcare providers reporting to immunet; Immunizations to teens (12-17); and believes protocols are more appropriate than actual prescriptions.</p> <p>Recommended Board approval to add being open to adding a certification requirement in regulation for pharmacist that administer travel vaccines. This is necessary because there are specific certifications for pharmacist administering travel vaccines and this will cover other parties' concerns regarding adults receiving travel vaccines. All stakeholders were copied on the letter to be informed as of the Boards' position on this subject.</p> <p>Recommendation for Board approval for statement to Chairman Hammen on how the Board would like to see this issue move forward.</p> <p><u>DRAFT BILL -</u> <u>Immunization Pharm Team Revisions Independents</u></p> <p><u>Board response to MACDS 081012</u></p> <p><u>August 14th DHMH Vaccine Conference Call</u></p>	<p>Motion made by A. Jeffers for Board to accept the letter to be sent to Chairman P. Hammond. Seconded by L. Israbian-Jamgochian.</p>	<p>The Board approved the Motion</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>4) Regarding SB 132, Health Occupations - State Board of Pharmacy -Jurisdiction over Nonresident Pharmacies. The Board has received numerous calls with requests for a grace period for pharmacists at nonresident pharmacies to obtain a Maryland pharmacist license since the law goes into effect on October 1, 2012.</p> <p>Recommendation made by A. Jeffers for the Board’s approval that the reciprocity application and fee be received by the Board by October 1, 2012 with evidence that the pharmacist has also applied to NABP to take the MPJE. NABP takes approximately 6 to 8 weeks to process. A notice will be placed on the Board’s website.</p> <p>The Board in still in process of looking for another contractor for applicants to complete English Competency. Possibility of Berlitz making Skype an available option to applicants to take the English test.</p> <p><u>REGULATIONS:</u></p> <p>10.34.03 – Inpatient Institutional Pharmacies – Satellite Pharmacy Regulation to be added to this chapter. It’s still in Subcommittee and being revised to include satellite pharmacies.</p> <p>10.34.11 - Disciplinary Monetary Penalties, and Civil Fines Anticipated to be published August 24, 2012.</p> <p>10.34.14 – Opening and Closing of Pharmacies and 10.34.30 Change to Permit – Pharmacy or Distribution Permit Holder.</p> <p>Consideration by the Practice Committee of new revisions concerning inspections and change of hours will go before Practice next week.</p> <p>10.34.22 – Licensing of Wholesale Prescription Drug or Device Distributors – have been revised pursuant to the new law.</p> <p>Recommendation for Board to approve revised version of</p>	<p>Motion made by A. Jeffers that the reciprocity application and fee be received by the Board by October 1, 2012 with evidence that the pharmacist has also applied to NABP to take the MPJE.</p> <p>Seconded by H. Finke.</p>	<p>The Board approved the motion.</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>10.34.22 regulation and approve to be released for informal comment</p> <p><u>FINAL DRAFT 10.34.22 081512</u></p> <p>10.34.29 – Drug Therapy Management <u>COMAR 10.34.29 DTM Release for Informal Comments 080612</u> Released for informal comment August 6, 2012 – August 20th. No comments thus far. Responses to go to Practice Committee next wed. Stephen Holmes will be staffing the August 22nd Practice Committee Meeting. If no changes S. Holmes will submit documentation. If comments arise, the revisions will come back to the Board in September</p> <p>10.34.33 – Holding for Fed Regs .</p> <p>10.34.36 – Pharmaceutical Services to Residents in Assisted Living Programs and Group Homes - anticipated to be published in the Maryland Register on 09/21/12.</p> <p>Submitted to DHMH July 20, 2012</p> <p>10.13.01 – Dispensing of Prescription Drugs by a Licensee- AG’s Intern drafting initial revisions. Effective date of SB 603 – July 1, 2013.</p>	<p>Motion made by A. Jeffers for Board to approve revised version of 10.34.22 regulation and approve to be released for informal comment. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p> <p>Motion made by A. Jeffers for Board to approve revised version of 10.34.29 regulation and approve to be released for informal comment. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p>	<p>Motion approved by the Board.</p> <p>Motion approved by the Board.</p>
III. Committee Reports	H. Finke, Chair,	1) Response letter to President of American Veterinary Medical Association was read and recommendation was made by H. Finke for the Board’s approval of letter with the following amendments:	Motion made by H. Finke for Board to approve amended	Motion was approved by Board

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
A. Practice Committee		<p>-Change the wording, “pet owner” to “animal owner -Change “Patient request generic medications even though prescription reads ,“brand medically necessary” pharmacist must verify.” To “Pharmacist must dispense brand name unless they verifies with the prescriber.”</p> <p>Thank you for contacting the Maryland Board of Pharmacy concerning pharmacy and veterinary communities’ common goals of protecting pet health when dispensing medications. In the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) letter, you expressed concerns regarding the dispensing of prescription drugs to animals, specifically, improper counseling; dispensing without a prescription; and improper substitutions.</p> <p>In the Maryland Pharmacy Act it is a pharmacist’s standard of practice to consult with the patient (animal owner) and the veterinarian concerning any questions regarding an animal’s prescription. The pharmacist is required to always contact the veterinarian if there are any inconsistencies or medical contraindications with the pet’s prescription.</p> <p>Additionally, pharmacists are required under the Maryland Pharmacy Act to only fill prescriptions pursuant to a patient specific prescription. See Health Occupations Article, 12-313, Annotated Code of Maryland. Please be advised that pharmacies are inspected annually in Maryland for compliance with the Maryland Pharmacy Act. http://mlis.state.md.us/asp/statutes_respond.asp?article=gho&section=12-313&Extension=HTML</p> <p>“Substitution of generic equivalent for brand name drug products” is located at Health Occupations Article, § 12-504, Annotated Code of Maryland. A pharmacist may substitute a generically equivalent drug or device product, of the same dosage form and strength, for any brand name drug or device products prescribed, if: (1) The authorized prescriber does not state expressly that the prescription is to be dispensed only as directed; (2) The substitution is recognized in the United States Food and Drug Administration’s current list of approved drug or device products with therapeutic equivalence evaluations; and (3) The consumer is charged less for the substituted drug or device than the price</p>	letter. Seconded by D. Taylor.	

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>of the brand name drug or device.</p> <p>http://mlis.state.md.us/asp/statutes_respond.asp?article=gho&section=12-504&Extension=HTML</p> <p>If a patient requests a generic medication, even though the prescription reads “brand medically necessary,” the pharmacist must dispense the brand name unless verified with the prescriber before dispensing the generic medication. See Health Occupations Article, § 12-504(c)(1), Annotated Code of Maryland.</p> <p>Please be advised that this response was prepared with the knowledge of only the facts presented. Any person who wishes to republish or reproduce, in whole or in part, any material issued by the Board should contact the Board for prior consent. This response is not intended to be legal advice. Although references to current laws and regulations may be included in this response, keep in mind that laws may change annually and regulations may be changed at any time. Further, the information provided is based on state pharmacy laws and regulations. Federal rules and state requirements that are not included under the Maryland Pharmacy Practice Act, however, may also apply. To insure that all current applicable laws have been considered, you may want to consult with your own legal counsel.</p> <p>The Board would be happy to work with the AVMA and any Maryland veterinary medical association concerning issues surrounding dispensing of veterinary medications. Should you have additional concerns, please feel free to contact Anna D. Jeffers, Legislation and Regulations Manager at (410) 764-4794.</p> <p><u>AVMA - dispensing issues</u></p> <p><u>Draft Bd Response - AVMA dispensing issues 081512</u></p> <p>2) Response letter to Kay Hanson, Target, was read and recommended by H. Finke for Board to approve with the</p>	<p>Motion made by H.</p>	<p>Motion was</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>following amendment: Adding S. Hammond comments including advising establishment to adequately staff pharmacy where they can maintain pharmacy operations legally but have medication therapy management being provided as an external service.</p> <p><u>Target - consulting in clinic room</u></p> <p><u>Draft Bd Response - Target - consulting in clinic room</u></p> <p><u>Letter returned to the Practice Committee for further discussion and revisions.</u></p>	<p>Finke for Board to approve amended letter. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p>	<p>approved by the Board.</p>
<p>B. Licensing Committee</p>	<p>D. Chason Chair,</p>	<p>1. Review of Pharmacist Applications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Bricker, Virginia</u> - Licensee does not have two live CE's. Applicant is requesting extension for time to complete her live CE's. Recommendation is to inform licensee that she is to pay reinstatement fees, complete live CE's and that she is unable to return to work in Maryland until the license is issued. Refer to compliance for wage check. • <u>Dunn, David</u> - Applicant attempted to renew online but needed password reset. Did not have the required CE's including 15 CE's that were submitted from outside the renewal period. Applicant has retired and only retained Maryland license in case he needed to reciprocate. Applicant is requesting a refund of the application fee. Recommendation is not grant the refund because the fee is an administrative fee. • <u>Anyanwu, Johnkennedy</u> - Applicant requested a refund of the application fee. D. James notified applicant that 10 CE's were outside of the renewal period and 2 live CE's were not provided. Applicant resides in Texas and has requested a refund as he does not intend to return to Maryland to practice. Recommendation is not grant the 	<p>Motion made by D. Chason to inform licensee that she is to pay reinstatement fees, complete live CE's and is unable to work until this is done. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p> <p>Motion made by D. Chason to deny request for refund. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p> <p>Motion was made by D. Chason to deny request for refund. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p>	<p>Motion was approved by the Board.</p> <p>Motion was approved by the Board.</p> <p>Motion was approved by the Board.</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>refund because the fee is an administrative fee.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant, <u>Hadas, Adugna</u>, has a question regarding acceptable documentation for reciprocating. <p>2. Review of Pharmacy Technician Applications: <u>Whitestone, Holly</u> - Candidate answered yes to question #3 regarding surrendering or failing to renew registration or license. Explanation was she just decided not to renew her Nursing Assistant Certification. Recommendation is to approve.</p> <p>3. Review of Pharmacy Applications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Critical Care Pharmacy</u> - The University of Maryland Medical Center Shock Trauma pharmacy satellite and Weinberg Pharmacy will merge into one building and become the Critical Care Pharmacy. Floor plan has been submitted. Current pharmacies are not separately licensed. Recommendation is to inform licensee if one entity is separately licensed, they can transfer license for new facility, but if not, they have to obtain a new permit. Board considers this a new pharmacy and is currently drafting regulations for these types of situations. <u>Greg Smith Question</u> – facility is moving to an adjacent area on campus, would this not be considered a different 	<p>Candidate talked to D. James who informed her that she didn't provide NABP application so we didn't have information on passing exams.</p> <p>Motion was made by D. Chason to approve. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p> <p>Motion made by D. Chason to inform licensee if one entity is separately licensed, they can transfer license for new facility, but if not, they have to obtain a new permit. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p> <p>Motion made by D. Chason to inform</p>	<p>Candidate will submit NABP application.</p> <p>Motion was approved by the Board.</p> <p>Motion was approved by the Board.</p> <p>Motion was</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>physical address? Recommendation is to inform permit holder that separate permits are needed. This is due to the board's interpretation. Physical addresses will no longer be the determining factor as to whether separate licenses are required. The board has developed and will soon propose regulations of which you will be required to have separate licenses. Satellite pharmacies are to be licensed separately.</p>	<p>licensee that separate permits are needed. Seconded by D. Taylor.</p>	<p>approved by the Board.</p>
<p>C. Public Relations Committee</p>	<p>L. Bradley-Baker, Chair</p>	<p>Public Relations Committee Update –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Board had a table at the MD ASCAP annual meeting August 3-4. L Bradley-Baker and J. Seeds attended. • Baby Boomers Expo at Timinium Fairgrounds October 10-11. The Board will be providing health information and patient counseling. Currently in need of the Board's participation and staff volunteers for both days. Will be reaching out to MD Pharmacy Coalition and the 3 schools of pharmacy for their participation. • Baltimore County Health Department is having an Immunization Kickoff the evening of October 11 featuring a Pharmacist Hotline where patients and consumers can call and ask questions related to flu prevention, vaccines and other related concerns. Recruitment flyers, emails and mailer will be out early next week to all Immunization Pharmacist in the state of MD. In need of 12-16 Pharmacists. • Baltimore Script Your Future Coalition Anniversary and 		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		Networking event August 30 at Roy's at the Inner Harbor in Baltimore.		
D. Disciplinary	L. Israbian-Jamgochian Chair	Disciplinary Committee Update – • DEA National Prescription Drug Take Back is scheduled for September 29 from 10-2. Last year's event removed 774 tons of medications from circulation. Arrangements will be made to post this information to website.		
E. Emergency Preparedness Task Force	D. Taylor Chair	Emergency Preparedness Task Force Update - • Task Force is currently divided into three subcommittees: 1.) Looking into updating and adjusting training documents. 2.) Developing presentations for the Board to take to local health departments. 3.) Points of Dispensing. Working on developing hands on training for Pharmacists. • At this time the Task Force is hoping to increase volunteer pharmacists. • R. Matens will not be able to volunteer this month, L. Bradley-Baker will substitute.		
F. Drug Therapy Management	Rodney Taylor, Lynette Bradley-Baker Co-Board Representatives	Joint Committee Update – • The Joint Committee no longer meets due to the change in the law and the Joint Committee is awaiting informal comments on the proposed regulations to come back to the Committee. • New DTM application is being prepared and upon completion will be submitted to the Board's joint committee members as well as D. Chason., the Board's Licensing Committee Chair.		
IV. Other Business & FYI	M. Souranis, President	None		
V. Adjournment	M. Souranis, Board President	The Public Meeting was adjourned at <u>11:20 a.m.</u> At <u>12:05p.m.</u> M. Souranis convened a Closed Public Session to	M. Souranis, moved to adjourn the Public Board	Motion was approved by the Board.

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>conduct a medical review of technician applications.</p> <p>C. The Closed Public Session was adjourned at _____ P.M. Immediately thereafter, M. Souranis convened an Administrative Session for purposes of discussing confidential disciplinary cases. With the exception of cases requiring recusals, the Board members present at the Public Meeting continued to participate in the Administrative Session.</p>	<p>meeting pursuant to State Government Article 10-508a)(13) and (7) for the purpose of engaging in medical review committee review deliberation regarding confidential matters in applications Meeting. The motion seconded by R.Matens.</p>	